Docket No. 044186.050001

## REMARKS

The non-final office action issued by the Examiner and the citations referred to in the office action have been carefully considered.

Claims 2-5, 8, and 10-15 have been cancelled.

Claims 19-21 have been added.

## Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 6-7 and 16-17

Claim 1, from which claims 6-7 and 16-17 depend, has been amended. It is respectfully submitted that amended claim 1 is not anticipated by the Mulvaney reference.

For at least the reasons that the inlet component layer comprises an inlet reformate manifold located at an outer edge of the reformate inlet component layer, an inlet reformate process fluid flow passage, and an inlet flow distribution region, wherein the inlet reformate manifold allows for entry of a reformate process fluid into the inlet reformate process fluid flow passage for the inward flow of reformate process fluid towards the inlet flow distribution region and into the centrally located reformation cavity; and, the reformate outlet component layer comprises a reformate outlet manifold located at an outer edge of the reformate outlet component layer, an outlet reformate process fluid flow passage and an outlet distribution region, wherein the outlet reformate process fluid flow passage allows for the outward flow of reformate process fluid from the centrally located reformation cavity and the outlet distribution region and the reformate outlet manifold allows for the exit of reformate process fluid from the outlet reformate process fluid flow passage.

Additionally, as amended, claim 6 places the inlet combustion process fluid flow passage in thermal contact with the outlet combustion flow process, which is not anticipated by the Mulvanev reference.

Claim 7 has been amended to more clearly delineate that the circumferential reformate fluid flow is extending counter-clockwise from the inlet reformate manifold located at an outer edge of the reformate inlet component layer towards the inlet flow distribution region and into

the centrally located reformation cavity, and wherein the outlet reformate process fluid flow passage extends clockwise from the centrally located reformation cavity and the outlet distribution region towards the reformate outlet manifold located at an outer edge of the reformate outlet component layer. The Mulvaney reference does not anticipate this configuration of flow.

Because the cited reference does not identify or address the problems solved by the claimed assembly withdrawal of the rejections is earnestly solicited and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

It is further submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been successfully traversed and that the application is now in order for allowance.

The Director is authorized to charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s), or to credit any overpayments to **Deposit Account Number 50-2036**. Please ensure that Attorney Docket Number 044186.050001 is referred to when charging any payments or credits for this case.

Dated: October 18, 2011

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark H. Krietzman

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 41,128

Customer No. 30734 Baker Hostetler LLP 600 Anton Blvd., Suite 900 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Phone: (714) 754-6600 Fax: (714) 754-6611

E-mail: mkrietzman@bakerlaw.com

103950321